

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,103	02/11/2004	Ryo Kawahara	2023-0104002Reg	2619
22850	7590 12/22/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DIACOU, ARI M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLAANDI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22514		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/775,103	KAWAHARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ari M. Diacou	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
, <u> </u>	,				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of the held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see paragraph 3 of the remarks, filed 11-25-2005, with respect to the 102(e) rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ye et al. (USP No. 6414788) published July 2, 2002.
 - Regarding claim 1, Ye discloses an optical amplifying method in which at least
 one optical amplifier is connected to an optical transmission line, an optical signal
 transmitted to said optical transmission line is amplified by said optical amplifier
 while an optical power of the optical signal on the optical transmission line is
 detected, and gain of the optical amplifier is controlled in response to an optical
 power of thus detected, the method comprising the steps of:
 - detecting an optical input and output power of said optical amplifier; [Fig.
 12, #76] [Col. 5, line 66 Col. 7, line 41]

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103

Art Unit: 3663

o obtaining a difference between gain of said optical amplifier and target gain on a basis of detected optical input and output power; [Fig. 12, #78] [Col. 6, lines 40-62]

Page 3

- o implementing a proportional calculation and an integral calculation of said difference by an automatic constant gain control device to obtain a drive current of at least one pump laser diode provided in said optical amplifier; and . [Fig. 12, #80] [Col. 6, lines 60 65]
- o controlling gain of said optical amplifier by controlling current of said pump laser diode based on a calculated drive current value. [Fig. 12, #84] [Col. 7 lines 15-20]
- Regarding claim 2, Ye discloses the optical amplifying method as claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of detecting an optical input power to said optical amplifier, and adjusting control parameters of said automatic constant gain control device in response to a detected result, wherein a drive current of said pump laser diode is obtained by the automatic constant gain control device with said control parameters adjusted. [The examiner considers the weighting coefficients used by Ye to arrive at P_{PUMP} given P_{PUMP-FF} and P_{PUMP-FB}, (Eq. (4)) which are exemplarily disclosed as 1 in Equation (5) of Ye, to be the control parameters adjusted. Ye specifically discloses in Eq. (4) that any function of these two inputs may be used to calculate the pumping power necessary.]
- Regarding claim 3, Ye discloses the optical amplifying method as claimed in claim 2, wherein in said step of adjusting said control parameters, proportional

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

constant of a proportional circuit in the automatic constant gain control device as said control parameters is adjusted. [Col. 6, lines 57-62]

- Regarding claim 4, Ye discloses the optical amplifying method as claimed in claim 2, wherein in said step of adjusting said control parameters, said optical input power from a optical device connected with said optical amplifying apparatus or said optical input power varied by add/drop function of an optical signal of wavelength division-multiplexing device in said optical device connected with said optical amplifying apparatus is detected, and the control parameters of said automatic constant gain control are adjusted in response to a detected result. [Ye's amplifier and its method of operation are designed to provide constant gain and suppress the effects of transient behavior, including the adding and dropping of channels] [Fig. 12, #82] [Col. 3, line 61 Col. 5, line 29]
- Regarding claim 5, Ye discloses the optical amplifying method as claimed in claim 4, wherein in said step of adjusting said control parameters, proportional constant of a proportional circuit in the automatic constant gain control device as said control parameters is adjusted. [Col. 5, line 66 - Col. 7, line 41]

Conclusion

4. While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See <u>In re Mraz</u>, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,103 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

5. The references made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant. They are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.

6. The prior art which is cited but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ari M. Diacou whose telephone number is (571) 272-5591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMD 12/16/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER